

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/772,555	Applicant(s) SMITH ET AL.
	Examiner BEN C. WANG	Art Unit 2192

All Participants:**Status of Application:** pending(1) Ann M. McCrackin (Reg. No. 42,858). (3) _____.(2) BEN C. WANG. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 16 September 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ben C Wang/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 22 to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 101 and/or 112, 2nd paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine. Ms. McCrackin authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.